This Stipulation is respectfully submitted by all named and remaining parties: Plaintiff J.P., on the one hand; and Defendants City of Stockton (also named as "Stockton Police Department") (the "City"), Chief of Police Stanley McFadden, and Officer Jorge Andrade, on the other hand; all through their undersigned counsel of record (collectively, the "Parties").

RECITALS

- A. On May 3, 2021, Plaintiff filed this lawsuit, when he was 17.
- B. On May 3, 2021, Plaintiff's mother, Channy Sok-Hang, moved to be appointed his Guardian Ad Litem ("GAL"). (ECF Nos. 2, 2-1, and 2-2.) In the declarations both Plaintiff and his mother filed in support of the motion, they each stated Plaintiff was born in 2004. (ECF Nos. 2-1 and 2-2, both at ¶ 2.)
- C. On May 4, 2021, this Court granted the motion. (ECF No. 5.) The order ruled Ms. Sok-Hang would remain Plaintiff's GAL only "until Plaintiff J.P. reaches the age of majority or the Court orders otherwise." (ECF No. 5, lines 21-23.)
- D. Plaintiff's counsel represents Plaintiff turned 18 years old in the beginning of 2022.
- E. On December 9, 2024, the Parties conducted a mediation and reached a conditional settlement. Thereafter, the conditions were performed, and the settlement became final and was consummated.
- F. Therefore, on March 26, 2025, the Parties filed a stipulation for dismissal of the entire action with prejudice. (ECF No. 84.)
- G. On March 27, 2025, this Court directed counsel to file a stipulation and proposed order for termination of the appointment of the GAL.

STIPULATION

IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, by the parties, through their counsel, that, because Plaintiff has turned 18, the guardianship ad litem should be terminated, retroactive to the date in 2022 Plaintiff turned 18.

Per Eastern District of California Local Rule 140(a)(iv), the month and day of a person's birthdate must be redacted, and only the birth year may be used. Because Plaintiff's birthdate is already stated in ECF Nos. 2-1 and 2-2, the Parties are not repeating it here.

Case 2:21-cv-00788-WBS-AC Document 87 Filed 03/28/25 Page 3 of 3

IT IS FURTHER STIPU	LATED AND AGREED the Court should dismiss the case with
prejudice, each party to bear its of	own fees and costs.
Respectfully Submitted,	
Dated: March 27, 2025	HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG, LLP
	By: <u>/s/ Joshua J. Stevens</u> JOSHUA J. STEVENS
	Attorneys for all Defendants
Dated March 27, 2025	LAW OFFICE OF YOLANDA HUANG
	By: <u>/s/ Yolanda Huang</u> YOLANDA HUANG
	(as authorized on March 27, 2025) Attorney for Plaintiff
	<u>ORDER</u>
The Court, having consid	dered the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing, rules a
follows:	
IT IS ORDERED that th	e relief the stipulation requests is GRANTED. The appointment
of the guardian ad litem is termin	nated retroactive to the date in 2022 when Plaintiff turned 18.
IT IS FURTHER ORDE	RED the entire action is dismissed with prejudice, each party t
bear its own fees and costs.	
Dated: March 27, 2025	william of shite
Duted: Water 27, 2025	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	prejudice, each party to bear its of Respectfully Submitted, Dated: March 27, 2025 Dated March 27, 2025 The Court, having considerable follows: IT IS ORDERED that the of the guardian ad litem is terminal of the guardian of the guardian ad litem is terminal of the guardian ad litem is th

HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG